CONSTRUCTION VALUE ENGINEERING CONCEPT PROPOSAL MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

			Date 00/20/2008
Contract ID	080328-501		Job No. J5P0592A
County Cam	nden	Route 5	Original Bid Cost \$11,378,348.02
Contractor	Dave Kolb Gi	ading, Inc.	By Brian VanCardo
Designed By	Brian VanCar	do	Phone (636) 441-0200
VECP 1. Description	OB-U		change(s). Advantages/Disadvantages
	_	call for the installation of Type ination of Type C Berms as no	e C Berms as noted per item No. 1070. Our proposed on sheet 5 of 19.
for culver	ts and fill areas	. The means with which we a	ed as a result of the means we have chosen to clear are clearing minimizes erodible area. See Attached
		construction costs.	\$76,110.00
	n of any effects nce and operat		have on other department costs, such as
	~		
Elimination	on of Type CB	erms will have no impact on o	otner department cost.
4. Anticipate Specificat		omittal of detailed change(s)	of items required by Section 104.6 of the
	ň	06/20/20	08
		(date)	
	for issuing a ch n time or deliv	-	num cost reduction, noting the effect of contract
07/	07/2008	Same Completion Date	, Please Notify By 7-07-08
1	(date)		(effect)
i. Dates of a	ny previous or	concurrent submission of th	ne same proposal.
		None	
		(date and/or	dates)

Construction Value Engineering Concept Proposal Missouri Department of Transportation

Attachment A

We have chosen to utilize a John Deere 7536 – Feller Buncher. The logging machine is a boom mounted saw and gatherer, which cuts existing vegetation within 4" of existing grade. During the removal process minimal disturbance to the existing landscape and root system will be noted. The design features of the Feller Buncher are to provide a cleared surface while maintaining a non-erodible landscape.

During culvert construction the proposed improvement area will grubbed of all unsuitable material. The limits will be minimized until culvert construction is completed. The existing down trees will be utilized to deter erosion and disposed of following culvert completion.

** Portion Below This Line To Be Filled Out by MoDOT **

Comments: BASED ON THE CONTRACTORS CLEARING METHOD AND
THE MINIMAL AMOUNT OF ERODIBLE AREA I RECOMMEND
APPROVAL OF THIS V.E. CONCEPT.
• •
Michael K. O'Malley 6/24/08 Submitted By Resident Engineer Date
Submitted By Resident Engineer Date
Comments:
This is a valid proposal and approval is recommended based on the
agreement that both anticipated and un-enticipated conditions with
regards to erosion aportal rule addressed during construction operations Also
changes in erosion control must be leflected on the plans and such costs incurred should be included in the XE costs and subsequent savings.
should be included in the XE costs and subsequent savings.
Recommended 10/27/08
Rejection Recommended District Engineer Date
Recommended District Engineer Date
Comments: costs incurred to provide the necessary erosion control measures will be
Comments: costs incurred to provide the necessary erosion control measures will be reimborsed to the contractor, including overhead, but not profit. Approval is based upon
the above comments.
Approval Sam Office (170/18
- Core United S
Rejection State Operations Engineer Date

Distribution:

Resident Engineer, District Operations Engineer, State Operations Engineer *Value Engineering Administrator - *MoDOT, P.O. Box 270, Jefferson City, MO 65102

VALUE ENGINEERING CHECK SHEET

TYPE OF WORK				
(Check one that applies)				

- □ Bridge/Structure/Footings
- □ Drainage Structures (RCP, RCB, CMP's ect)
- □ TCP/MOT
- □ Paving (PCCP, ect.)
- ★ Grading/MSE Walls
- □ Signal/Lighting/ITS
- □ Misc.

SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL

(If needed, condense summary to a couple of lines)

Fline to True C. Rarme	by using different equipment to clear for
sulverts and fill areas	by using different equipment to clear for

SCANNING OF DOCUMENT

If the proposal is large, please mark or make note, which pages need to be scanned into the databa	se.	If
there are special instructions, make note of them here.		

All	